**Company B Vulnerability Report**

Company B performed this vulnerability assessment in anticipation of system integration with Company A. This assessment was performed by a qualified third-party assessor, and this report has been generated with the results. This assessment was performed in accordance with a methodology described in NIST 800-30 Rev 1 to identify the following:

* Vulnerabilities using the CVSS model
* Severity
* Likelihood of occurrence

Table A. Risk Classifications

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Risk Level** | **Description** |
| High | The loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability may be expected to have a severe or catastrophic adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals. |
| Moderate | The loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability may be expected to have a serious adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals. |
| Low | The loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability may be expected to have a limited adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals. |

Table B. Severity

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Severity Level (CVSS Model)** | **Description** |
| Critical | * Exploitation of the vulnerability likely results in root-level compromise of servers or infrastructure devices. * Exploitation is usually straightforward in the sense that the attacker does not need any special authentication credentials or knowledge about individual victims and does not need to persuade a target user, for example, via social engineering, to perform any special functions. |
| High | * The vulnerability is difficult to exploit. * Exploitation could result in elevated privileges. * Exploitation could result in significant data loss or downtime. |
| Medium | * Vulnerabilities that require the attacker to manipulate individual victims via social engineering tactics. * Denial of service vulnerabilities that are difficult to set up. * Exploits that require an attacker to reside on the same local network as the victim. * Vulnerabilities where exploitation provides only very limited access. * Vulnerabilities that require user privileges for successful exploitation. |
| Low | Exploitation of such vulnerabilities usually requires local or physical system access and would have little impact on the organization. |

Table C. Level of Effort

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Level of Effort** | **Description** |
| High | This requires a high level of dedicated effort from one or more teams on critical systems, including patching, multiple configuration changes, or highly technical changes that risk bringing services down. |
| Moderate | This is a medium-level effort that requires substantial dedication from a partial or entire team. This could impact services or cause a partial outage. |
| Low | These are individual or small team efforts generally requiring a minimal time commitment and require running an update or remedial command or series of commands that will not impact production services. |

Table D. System Inventory

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **System Components** | |
| Servers | Virtualized farm running on Hyper-V (2 hosts). Windows Server 2019 and Ubuntu Linux. Approximately 20 virtualized servers (across the 2 hosts), including the following roles:   * (Ubuntu Linux) FTP server for EDI Incoming Operations * 3x Domain Controllers (1 used for M365 identity sync) * 1x File Storage/Server * 1x Ruby On Rails server * 3x ElasticSearch servers (cluster) * 5x web application servers (Ubuntu Linux cluster, 1x PostGRESQL, 1x MariaDB SQL, 3x running nginX Plus w\reverse caching proxy, 1x running Apache Tomcat, PHP 8, hosting SSL/TLS certificates) * 4x Remote Desktop Servers for internal shared/applications * 2x legacy Exchange servers (post-migration) |
| 75 Workstations | Windows XP, 7, 10/11 Pro, Ubuntu Linux, MacOS |
| Switches | HPE JL262A Aruba 2930F 48G PoE+ |
| Firewall | 2x Sophos XG firewalls |
| Border router | Verizon FIOS router (CR1000A) |
| Laptops | Windows 10, 11, Ubuntu 22.04 LTS, MacOS (Ventura, Monterey, Big Sur) |
| Wireless Access Points | 10x HPE JZ337A Aruba AP-535 |
| Cable plant | Cat6a |

Table E. Risk Identification

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Risk #** | **Vulnerability (NVT Name)** | **NVT OID** | **Severity** | **Risk** | **Level of Effort** |
| 1 | Distributed Ruby (dRuby/DRb) Multiple Remote Code Execution Vulnerabilities | 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108010 | Critical | High | High |
| 2 | MFA not enforced across all users |  | High | High | High |
| 3 | Rexec service is running | 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.100111 | High | High | Low |
| 4 | All users have local administrative privileges |  | Medium | Moderate | High |
| 5 | Java RMI Server Insecure Default Configuration Remote Code Execution Vulnerability on publicly-facing server | 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.140051 | Critical | High | Moderate |
| 6 | Operating System (OS) End of Life (EOL) Detection | 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103674 | Critical | High | Low |
| 7 | rlogin Passwordless Login | 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.113766 | High | Moderate | Low |
| 8 | Apache Tomcat AJP RCE Vulnerability (Ghostcat) | 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.143545 | Critical | High | Moderate |
| 9 | PostgreSQL weak password | 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103552 | High | High | Low |
| 10 | PostgreSQL admin is reachable from internet |  | Critical | High | Low |
| 11 | VNC Brute Force Login | 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.106056 | High | High | Low |
| 12 | FTP Brute Force Logins Reporting | 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108718 | High | High | Low |
| 13 | phpinfo() output Reporting | 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.11229 | High | Moderate | Low |
| 14 | vsftpd Compromised Source Packages Backdoor Vulnerability | 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103185 | High | High | Moderate |
| 15 | rsh Unencrypted Cleartext Login | 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.100080 | High | Moderate | Moderate |
| 16 | SSL/TLS: OpenSSL CCS Man in the Middle Security Bypass Vulnerability | 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105042 | High | Moderate | Moderate |
| 17 | Anonymous FTP Login Reporting | 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.900600 | Moderate |  | Low |
| 18 | Samba MS-RPC Remote Shell Command Execution Vulnerability - Active Check | 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108011 | High | Moderate | High |
| 19 | SSL/TLS: Deprecated SSLv2 and SSLv3 Protocol Detection | 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.111012 | Moderate | Moderate | Moderate |
| 20 | Weak Host Key Algorithm(s) (SSH) | 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117687 | Moderate | Moderate | Moderate |

**Company B Cyber Security Tools**

Company B has provided this list of cyber security tools in anticipation of being acquired by Company A. This list is assumed to be complete.

Table A. Cyber Security Tools

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tool Name** | **Purpose** |
| Sophos/Intercept X | Endpoint Detection and Response |
| OneTrust | Data privacy/Data lifecycle management |
| Code42 | Data-centric security |
| Sophos XG | Next-Gen Firewalls |
| No tool available | Mobile Device & Application Management |
| DUO | Identity and Access Management |
| Akamai | Application Security |
| Mimecast | Messaging Security |
| Arctic Wolf | Managed Security Services Provider |
| Cisco Umbrella | DNS Security |
| In progress | Cyber security policy |
| In progress | Written Information Security Policy (WISP) |
| In progress | Written procedures |
| Minimal | Documentation of environment |